## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Manne Satvanaravana REDDY et al. Art Unit: 1624

Application No.: 10/601,844 Examiner: P. V. Ward

Filed: June 23, 2003

For: AMORPHOUS LEVOCETIRIZINE

DIHYDROCHLORIDE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

This is submitted in response to the Office Action that was mailed on May 18, 2006, for the above-identified patent application. A response to the Office Action is due August 18, 2006. Accordingly, this paper is being timely filed.

Claims 1-22 and 24-37 are pending in the application. Claims 1-18 stand rejected. According to the Office Action, claims 19-37 were withdrawn from consideration. However, Applicants point out that claim 23 was previously canceled. Accordingly, claims 19-22 and 24-37 were withdrawn from consideration.

Reconsideration of the claim rejections, examination of the withdrawn claims, and allowance of the pending claims in view of the following remarks are respectfully requested.

## Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 3 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as allegedly indefinite because it refers to Figure (1). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.